

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/876,412	AMMON, DANIEL M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.

(3) _____

 (2) Mr. John E. Thomas.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

the scope of the claims


Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
the Applicants agrees with the proposed examiner's amendment, which clarifies the subject matter recited in the claims and brings it into the scope disclosed in the specification, i.e. MALDI analysis for proteins.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)